# Faculty Feedback Form 2020 - 2021

76 responses

**Publish analytics** 

Name of the Staff

76 responses

Dr. K. Balasubramanian

Dr.A.SUBRAMANIAN

Dr.A.Sivagurunathan

DR.P.JEYA CHITHRA

Dr. S. Loghambal

G NEELA KRISHNA BABU

Dr. A. Ranthir kumar

Dr.A.S.GANGA

Dr.K.Viswa Sarojini Devi

1


Qualification	
76 responses	
Ph.D	
M.Sc., M.Phil., Ph.D.	1
M.Sc.,M.Phil.,Ph.D	
M.A.,M.Phil.,M.Sc(psy).,Ph.D.,	
M. Sc. B. Ed. M. Phil. Ph. D.	
M.C.A.,M.Phil.,	
M.A.,M PHIL., PhD	
M.SC, MPhil, PhD	
M.Com., M.Phil., Ph.D., NET	•


Department and Designation	
76 responses	
Assistant Professor of Mathematics	•
Assistant professor of Tamil	- 1
Assistant professor of commerce	
Physics, Assistant Professor	
English	
Associate Professor of Physics	
Principal	
Zoology, Assistant Professor	
English, Assistant professor	•

Date of Joining in this College	
76 responses	
02.01.2008	
13.06.2007	
03.08.2017	
05.10.1999	
03/08/2017	
19.06.2000	
24.02.1992	
06-12-1989	
2.1.2008	•


Total year of Experience	
76 responses	
14	
4	
18 Years	
14 years	
14 years 1month	
13 years 6 months	
4 years	
21 years	
31 years	•


1. The Course / Syllabi of the subjects taught by me increased my interest, knowledge and perspective in the subject area 76 responses








6. The college provides adequate opportunities and support to faculty members for upgrading their skills and qualifications.

















This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. Report Abuse - Terms of Service - Privacy Policy



# Students Feedback Form 2020 - 2021

#### 428 responses

#### **Publish analytics**

Name of the Student 428 responses	
Kannan	
M.Muppidathi	
S.Nandhini	
N.Esakkimuthu	
M.SANTHANAKUMAR	
N.ARUMUGAM	
B.Kanneeshwari	
M.DHARMARAJ	
M JohnJebamalai	•

#### E-Mail Id

428 responses

esakkimuthu7358@gmail.com

saravanansara1334@gmail.com

santhanakumartvl2000@gmail.com

arumugamarun005@gmail.com

kanneeshwari1507@gmail.com

dharmarajmari350@gmail.com

thalajohnje@gmail.com

gomathithangam1999@gmail.com


manniyanarun@gmail.com


Phone Number 428 responses	er			
7358824050				
9487301959				- 1
8838172334				
9087536480				
6374795568				
9943874677				
6383074628				
8838511605				
9600907147				•
Area				
428 responses				
	24.1%	25.5% 30.1%	<ul> <li>Very Near (within 5 Km)</li> <li>Near (Within 10 KM)</li> <li>Far (Between 10Km - 25 Km)</li> <li>Very Far (Above 25 Km)</li> </ul>	≺m)


0


Programme / Department 428 responses	
Mathematics	
Physics	1
Commerce	
B.com	
Chemistry	
Zoology	
Economics	
Bsc computer science	
Physics	•
UG / PG 428 responses	
428 responses UG PG 84.6%	


































37.4%

Î





Placement Opportunities arranged by the institution 347 responses	
Νο	
Yes	- 1
Good	
Pettai	
Good	
No work	
Nothing	
Not bad	
good	•

Suggestions, if any 428 responses	
Νο	•
Nothing	
Good	
Nothing	
Good	
Nothing to say	
Yes	
good	
Super	•

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. Report Abuse - Terms of Service - Privacy Policy



## **Programme VS Library**

Crosstab						
Count						
			LIE	BRARY		
					SUBJECT TO	
		EXCELLENT	GOOD	MODERATE	IMPROVE	Total
PROGRAMME	BCOM B	52	44	0	0	96
	BCOM UN AIDED	42	66	0	2	110
	COMP SCI UN AIDED	42	30	2	2	76
	BCA UN AIDED	17	20	8	0	45
	BA ENGLISH	27	17	6	0	50
	BCOM CORPORATE	27	19	2	0	48
Total		207	196	18	4	425

#### **Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	Df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	54.348 <sup>a</sup>	15	.000
Likelihood Ratio	53.358	15	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	.242	1	.623
N of Valid Cases	425		

#### **Interpretation:**

From the above table it is clear that the value of chi-square is 54.348. The P value (0.000) is smaller than the Standard alpha value (0.05). Hence the null hypothesis is rejected. The result is significant. Hence there is an association between different programme of the students and library of the college. So the opinion about the college library is favourable.

## **PROGRAMME \* ENCOURAGEMENT TO PARTICIPATE IN COMPETITIONS**

Crosstab						
Count						
		ENCOURAGE	EMENT TO PAR	TICIPATE IN CO	OMPETITIONS	
					SUBJECT TO	
		EXCELLENT	GOOD	MODERATE	IMPROVE	Total
PROGRAMME	BCOM B	58	38	0	0	96
	BCOM UN AIDED	44	60	4	2	110
	COMP SCI UN AIDED	60	8	8	0	76
	BCA UN AIDED	20	16	9	0	45
	BA ENGLISH	39	9	2	0	50
	BCOM CORPORATE	27	15	6	0	48
Total		248	146	29	2	425

#### **Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	76.948 <sup>a</sup>	15	.000
Likelihood Ratio	82.582	15	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	.014	1	.907
N of Valid Cases	425		

#### Interpretation:

From the above table it is clear that the value of chi-square is 76.948. The P value (0.000) is smaller than the Standard alpha value (0.05). Hence the null hypothesis is rejected. The result is significant. Hence there is an association between different programme of the students and encouragement to participate in competitions. So the opinion about encouragement to participate in competitions is favourable.

# **PROGRAMME \* FUNCTIONING OF DEPARTMENT ASSOCIATION**

Crosstab						
Count						
	FUNCTIONING OF DEPARTMENT ASSOCIATION					
					SUBJECT TO	
		EXCELLENT	GOOD	MODERATE	IMPROVE	Total
PROGRAMME	BCOM B	56	40	0	0	96
	BCOM UN AIDED	42	66	0	2	110
	COMP SCI UN AIDED	58	10	8	0	76
	BCA UN AIDED	21	16	8	0	45
	BA ENGLISH	36	11	2	1	50
	BCOM CORPORATE	15	28	5	0	48
Total		228	171	23	3	425

#### **Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	16.628ª	5	.005
Likelihood Ratio	18.143	5	.003
Linear-by-Linear Association	6.937	1	.008
N of Valid Cases	425		

#### Interpretation:

From the above table it is clear that the value of chi-square is 16.628. The P value (0.005) is smaller than the Standard alpha value (0.05). Hence the null hypothesis is rejected. The result is significant. Hence there is an association between different programme of the students and functioning of department association. So the opinion about functioning of department association is favourable.

# Crosstabs

**Case Processing Summary** 

	Cases							
	Va	lid	Mis	sing	Total			
	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent	Ν	Percent		
PROGRAMME * DRINKING WATER	425	100.0%	0	.0%	425	100.0%		
PROGRAMME * CANTEEN	425	100.0%	0	.0%	425	100.0%		
PROGRAMME * TOILETS	425	100.0%	0	.0%	425	100.0%		

# **PROGRAMME \* TOILETS**


	Crosstab					
Count						
			т	DILETS		
		EXCELLENT	GOOD	MODERATE	SUBJECT TO IMPROVE	Total
PROGRAMME	BCOM B	48	48	0	0	96
	BCOM UN AIDED	30	68	8	4	110
	COMP SCI UN AIDED	22	42	2	10	76
	BCA UN AIDED	17	19	9	0	45
	BA ENGLISH	28	11	11	0	50
	BCOM CORPORATE	15	25	7	1	48
Total		160	213	37	15	425

#### **Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2-sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	82.929ª	15	.000
Likelihood Ratio	87.349	15	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	3.403	1	.065
N of Valid Cases	425		

#### Interpretation:

From the above table it is clear that the value of chi-square is 76.948. The P value (0.000) is smaller than the Standard alpha value (0.05). Hence the null hypothesis is rejected. The result is significant. Hence there is an association between different programme of the students and toilet facilities. So the opinion about the toilet facilities of the college is favourable.



Bar Chart

# **PROGRAMME \* CANTEEN**


Crosstab						
Count						
			CA	NTEEN		
					SUBJECT TO	
		EXCELLENT	GOOD	MODERATE	IMPROVE	Total
PROGRAMME	BCOM B	42	52	2	0	96
	BCOM UN AIDED	22	68	4	16	110
	COMP SCI UN AIDED	20	18	30	8	76
	BCA UN AIDED	17	17	9	2	45
	BA ENGLISH	22	17	11	0	50
	BCOM CORPORATE	26	18	4	0	48
Total		149	190	60	26	425

#### **Chi-Square Tests**

	Value	df	Asymp. Sig. (2- sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	1.208E2ª	15	.000
Likelihood Ratio	127.518	15	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	.861	1	.354
N of Valid Cases	425		

#### Interpretation:

From the above table it is clear that the value of chi-square is 1.208. The P value (0.000) is smaller than the Standard alpha value (0.05). Hence the null hypothesis is rejected. The result is significant. Hence there is an association between different programme of the students and canteen facilities. So the opinion about the canteen facilities of the college is favourable.



Bar Chart

### **PROGRAMME \* DRINKING WATER**

Crosstab						
Count						
			DRINK	ING WATER		
					SUBJECT TO	
		EXCELLENT	GOOD	MODERATE	IMPROVE	Total
PROGRAMME	BCOM B	52	44	0	0	96
	BCOM UN AIDED	18	74	12	6	110
	COMP SCI UN AIDED	28	24	22	2	76
	BCA UN AIDED	23	13	9	0	45
	BA ENGLISH	26	10	13	1	50
	BCOM CORPORATE	16	24	8	0	48
Total		163	189	64	9	425

#### Chi-Square Tests

			Asymp. Sig. (2-
	Value	Df	sided)
Pearson Chi-Square	90.207 <sup>a</sup>	15	.000
Likelihood Ratio	107.510	15	.000
Linear-by-Linear Association	1.846	1	.174
N of Valid Cases	425		

a. 6 cells (25.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is .95.

#### Interpretation:

From the above table it is clear that the value of chi-square is 76.948. The P value (0.000) is smaller than the Standard alpha value (0.05). Hence the null hypothesis is rejected. The result is significant. Hence there is an association between different programme of the students and drinking water. So the opinion about the drinking facilities is favourable.

# Bar Chart

